UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,317	01/19/2006	01/19/2006 Brian Smith		7637
24978 GREER, BURN	7590 02/25/201 IS & CRAIN	EXAMINER		
300 S WACKE		KATCHEVES, BASIL S		
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Commence		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/565,3	7	SMITH, BRIAN				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		BASIL KA	TCHEVES	3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ISHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ISHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ISHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ISHEVER ISHEV	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. y period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 16 December 2	വര					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 December 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	<i>,</i> —			osecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,					
· ·		lication						
•	Claim(s) 32-38 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37</u> is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement					
0)[are subject to restriction	and/or election is	squirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	uments have bee	n received. n received in Applicati	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen				(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/26/10</u> .	,	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/565,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant has cancelled claims 1-31 in the paper filed 12/16/09 and added new claims 32-38. Pending claims 32-38 are examined below.

Claim Objections

Claim 37 is objected for improper dependency. Claim 37 depends from canceled claim1. Claim 37 will be examined as if depending from claim 32. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,649,682 to Barrett, Jr. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,708,459 to Bodnar.

Regarding claims 32, 34 and 38, Barrett discloses a composite panel (fig. 1) having a frame which includes C shaped members (fig. 8: 38) which has a web (fig. 8:

Application/Control Number: 10/565,317

Art Unit: 3635

where 108 points) two transverse flanges (see both flanges 38), wherein a first flange (lower flange) is completely embedded in a concrete (column 3, lines 36-39) slab with the web extending outward from the rear of the slab. However, Barrett does not disclose the flange as having a reinforcing layer fastened to it. Bodnar discloses a panel with C beams, concrete and a mesh reinforcement (72) attached to a C beam via a tab and tab opening (80). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Barrett by adding the mesh and tab connection, as disclosed by Bodnar, in order to better reinforce the concrete. Also, the use of mesh in concrete is standard practice and is a well known means of strengthening concrete panels. Also, Barrett discloses the basic claim structure of the instant application but does not disclose specific density dimensions as claimed by the applicant. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed range, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the dimensions such as specified in these claims. Also, Barrett does not particularly disclose the use of aerated concrete. However, Barrett discloses the use of cementitious mixtures or more broadly, a hardenable material (column 3, line 37). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an aerated concrete, since this is a standard concrete used in panels and Barrett teaches a hardenable material such as a cement mixture. The use of aerated concrete would have been an obvious design choice. Barrett also discloses the C beams as having first and second flanges and a web in between, the second flange extends from the web at an opposite end than that of the first flange.

Page 3

Regarding claim 33, the limitation of a foaming concrete is met by the rejection of claim 32 of the obviousness of using aerated concrete, since the applicant's specification points to a foaming agent as common with aerated concretes.

Regarding claim 35, Barrett in view of Bodnar discloses a slit and expanded metal (Bodnar 74 & 72).

Regarding claim 36, Barrett discloses opening in the panels surrounded by the frame members (window and door openings, last two lines of abstract and 1: utility box openings).

Regarding claim 37 Barrett discloses an outer member (fig. 8: 106) which is L shaped (center and left side) with two legs wherein one leg is attached to the web (where 106 points) and the other leg (opposite to 110) is attached to the front face of the slab and extends parallel to the first flange. Regarding the limitation of removably and permanently, the applicant should note that any connection is inherently permanent until removed. These connections are inherently capable of being removed and inherently capable of remaining permanent.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the prior art lacks a metal frame embedded in air entrained concrete, the concrete having particular specifications of density. The applicant should note that the rejection is an obviousness rejection and even still, concrete has an inherent grade of air within. The examiner notes the declaration

dated 12/16/09. However, the particular density would have been obvious to one in the art depending upon the intended use of the concrete. More or less density would be chosen upon the particular application for which the concrete is to be used. Concrete is well known in the art of construction as is the construction of concrete in that the densities, and materials, may vary depending upon the particular use that it is suited to. The applicants remaining arguments are repeated from the previous action and are also addressed in that action dated 11/2/09.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot, can be reached at (571) 272-6777.

/Basil Katcheves/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3635